# VOL.100 NO.SU2. NOV. 1974 PROCEEDINGS OF THE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF CIVIL ENGINEERS



©American Society of Civil Engineers 1974

# AMERICAN SOCIETY OF CIVIL ENGINEERS BOARD OF DIRECTION

President William E. Sangster

President-elect Arthur J. Fox, Jr. Past President

Charles W. Yoder

Vice Presidents Daniel B. Barge, Jr. William R. Gibbs

John T. Merrifield Ivan M. Viest

Albert A. Grant

Hugh W. Hempel Elmer B. Isaak Russel C. Jones Jack McMinn Irvan F. Mendenhall

Cranston R. Rogers Edward H. Sokolowski Christopher G. Tyson

Eben Vey

Directors Philip Abrams Amos J. Alter Robert D. Bay Lynn S. Beedle Bevan W. Brown, Jr. Archie N. Carter Clarence W. E. Davies Anthony M. DiGioia, Jr. George F. Flay, Jr. Lyman R. Gillis

#### EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Eugene Zwoyer, Executive Director Don P. Reynolds, Assistant Executive Director Joseph McCabe, Director—Education Services Edmund H. Lang, Director—Professional Services Paul A. Parisi, Director—Publication Services Albert W. Turchick, Director—Technical Services William D. French, Director—Support and Administrative Services William N. Carey, Secretary Emeritus William N. Carey, Executive Director Emeritus William S. LaLonde, Jr., Treasurer Robert H. Dodds, Assistant Treasurer

#### COMMITTEE ON PUBLICATIONS

Bevan W. Brown, Jr. Clarence W. E. Davies Archie N. Carter Jack H. McMinn

# SURVEYING AND MAPPING DIVISION

Executive Committee Morris M. Thompson, Chairman Roy F. Thurston, Vice Chairman J. A. Hick, Jr. Eldon C. Wagner Harmer A. Weeden Kenneth Hunter, Secretary Robert H. Lyddan, Management Group E Contact Member Publications Committee Leslie B. Robison, Chairman Thomas B. Berns Robert H. Dodds

Fareed W. Nader Paul R. Wolf Eldon C. Wagner, Exec. Comm. Contact Mem.

# PUBLICATION SERVICES DEPARTMENT

Paul A. Parisi, Director

# Technical Publications

Richard R. Torrens, Editor Robert D. Walker, Associate Editor Geraldine Cioffi, Assistant Editor Patricia A. Goldenberg, Editorial Assistant Evelyn Sasmor, Editorial Assistant Nadja Landau, Editorial Assistant Frank J. Loeffler, Draftsman

#### Information Services

Irving Amron, Editor

# CONTENTS

Hydrographic Surveys Offshore—Error Sources   by Ralph H. Cross   8	3
Planning Economical Tunnel Surveys   by Bruce J. Thompson 9	95
Precise Dam Surveys—Los Angeles County Flood Control District by Don F. Keene	9
Investigation of Dynamic Taping by Bruce W. Golley and John Sneddon	5
Structural and Terrain Movements by John A. Dearinger	23
Interpretation of Multispectral Remote Sensor Data by Albert N. Williamson	13
New Procedure for Numerical Relative Orientation by Santosh K. Mahajan	55

This Journal is published quarterly by the American Society of Civil Engineers. Publications office is at 345 East 47th Street, New York, N.Y. 10017. Address all ASCE correspondence to the Editorial and General Offices at 345 East 47th Street, New York, N.Y. 10017. Allow six weeks for change of address to become effective. Subscription price to members is \$3.00. Nonmember subscriptions available; prices obtainable on request. CO, PO, EI, SU, UP.

The Society is not responsible for any statement made or opinion expressed in its publications.

## DISCUSSION

Proc. Paper 10900

Elevation Changes Due to Tides, Long Beach, Calif., by Dale S. Kunitomi (Nov., 1972. Prior Discussions: Sept., 1973).	
closure	173
The Boundary of The Sovereign, <sup>a</sup> by Paul T. O'Hargan (Sept., 1973). by John F. Michel	173
<b>Tunnel Survey and Tunneling Machine Control</b> , <sup>a</sup> by Edward W. Peterson and Peter Frobenius (Sept., 1973). by Ralph J. Angarola, Jr.	175
Computer-Based Land Use Suitability Maps, <sup>a</sup> by Ralph W. Keifer and Michael L. Robbins (Sept., 1973). by Robert M. Ragan and Ernest C. Rebuck	177

# **TECHNICAL NOTES**

Proc. Paper 10905

Systematic Erro	ors in High	Latitude	Astronavigation
-----------------	-------------	----------	-----------------

# **INFORMATION RETRIEVAL**

The key words, abstract, and reference "cards" for each article in this Journal represent part of the ASCE participation in the EJC information retrieval plan. The retrieval data are placed herein so that each can be cut out, placed on a  $3 \times 5$  card and given an accession number for the user's file. The accession number is then entered on key word cards so that the user can subsequently match key words to choose the articles he wishes. Details of this program were given in an August, 1962 article in CIVIL ENGINEERING, reprints of which are available on request to ASCE headquarters.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Discussion period closed for this paper. Any other discussion received during this discussion period will be published in subsequent Journals.

#### 10908 HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEYS OFFSHORE—ERROR SOURCES

KEY WORDS: Bathymetry; Coastal engineering; Error functions; Hydrographic surveys; Hydrography; Measurement; Surveying; Tide gages

ABSTRACT: Waves at sea limit both the resolution and accuracy of bathymetric surveys. Vessel roll introduces errors difficult to correct. By limiting operations to calm days according to criteria given, these errors can be controlled. Heave and roll appear as wiggles on the record, masking bottom irregularities. Speed limitations are given to assure seeing irregularities of a given size. Three tidal datum plane are defined, and indirect methods of obtaining offshore tidal data are described.

REFERENCE: Cross, Ralph H., "Hydrographic Surveys Offshore—Error Sources," *Journal of the Surveying and Mapping Division*, ASCE, Vol. 100, No. SU2, **Proc. Paper 10908**, November, 1974, pp. 83-93

# 10906 PLANNING ECONOMICAL TUNNEL SURVEYS

KEY WORDS: Alignment; Benefit cost analysis; Control surveys; Economics; Planning; Surveying; Tunnels; Water tunnels

ABSTRACT: Economical tunnel surveys require careful advance planning. Methods and criteria for planning the surveys are examined, as are the factors affecting the choice of method to be used. A well-planned basic control system is shown to be essential for keeping survey costs at a minimum.) Traverse, triangulation, and trilateration methods are compared and recommendations given for their use. The amount of positional uncertainty which can be tolerated in the tunnel alinement may be determinative in the choice of method, but often other considerations may take overriding precedence. Problems in reproducing the design alinement in the tunnel are greatly simplified by the advance in instrumentation and horizontal accuracies of one part in 30,000 can be obtained with comparative ease and economy when supported by a properly designed basic control system.

REFERENCE: Thompson, Bruce J., "Planning Economical Tunnel Surveys," *Journal* of the Surveying and Mapping Division, ASCE, Vol. 100, No. SU2, Proc. Paper 10906, November, 1974, pp. 95-98

## **10925 PRECISE DAM SURVEYS - LOS ANGELES**

KEY WORDS: California; Control systems; Dam stability; Geodetic surveys; Monitoring; Precision; Surveillance; Surveys

ABSTRACT: The Los Angeles County Flood Control District performs precise control surveys on eight concrete arch and gravity dams, five earthfill dams, and 12 major earthfill debris basins. Probable error in position of surveillance points varies generally from  $\pm 0.5$  to  $\pm 2$  mm with extreme values ranging to  $\pm 6$  mm for unfavorable geometric or refractive conditions, or both. Techniques are considered excellent and optimal with base-line precisions generally at 0.5 ppm - 1 ppm in probable error, and angular direction probable error on the order of  $\pm 0.5$  sec. Standards for precision should be based on reasonable effort consistent with that magnitude of movement with adequate reliability that would induce response action if exceeded. The standards should in addition be dependent on the nature of the structure, associated risks, and the limitations of the site geometry comprising the precise system.

REFERENCE: Keene, Don F., "Precise Dam Surveys—Los Angeles County Flood Control District," *Journal of the Surveying and Mapping Division*, ASCE, Vol. 100, No. SU2, **Proc. Paper 10925**, November, 1974, pp. 99-114

#### **10938 INVESTIGATION OF DYNAMIC TAPING**

KEY WORDS: Experimental data; Investigations; Slope indicators; Surveying; Taping; Vibration

ABSTRACT: The preferred time for reading tape length when using dynamic taping is suggested, differing from the one previously proposed. The dynamic taping technique has been suggested as a method for eliminating catenary corrections. An experimental investigation in which the measured length and tape tension were measured as functions of time was conducted to confirm or suggest modifications to the time at which the length should be measured. An analytical method for determining the timeplacement relationship of one end of the tape is presented and theoretical curves are shown for a range of amplitudes of tape oscillation. Comparison between an experimental cycle and the theoretical values resulted in good correlation in the critical regions. The tape force-time relationship depends on the observer, and the length-time relationship depends on the force, length, and cross section of the tape. However, the minimum reading of the tape appears to give a more accurate result than the reading previously proposed.

REFERENCE: Golley, Bruce W., and Sneddon, John, "Investigation of Dynamic Taping," *Journal of the Surveying and Mapping Division*, ASCE, Vol. 100, No. SU2, **Proc. Paper 10938**, November, 1974, pp. 115-122

#### **10896 STRUCTURAL AND TERRAIN MOVEMENTS**

KEY WORDS: Computation; Control surveys; Deformation; Errors; Measuring instruments; Motion; Safety; Surveying; Triangulation

ABSTRACT: This paper is to be Chapter 17 of the proposed Manual on Engineering Surveys now being prepared by the Committee on Engineering Surveying of the Surveying and Mapping Division. It is essentially an outline of survey procedures that are specifically applicable to the problem of measuring terrain movements and structural deformations. The scope is limited to the determination of absolute movement by precise survey methods. Emphasis is placed on designing a movement study so that propagated errors in position and elevation remain smaller than the minimum expected movement. Error propagation equations for some of the survey and computational methods used in movement studies and a comprehensive list of pertinent references are appended.

REFERENCE: Dearinger, John A., "Structural and Terrain Movements," *Journal of the Surveying and Mapping Division*, ASCE, Vol. 100, No. SU2, **Proc. Paper 10896**, November, 1974, pp. 123-142

#### **10948 MULTISPECTRAL REMOTE SENSOR DATA**

KEY WORDS: Computers; Data systems; Mapping; Remote sensing; Satellites (artificial); Spectrum analysis; Surveying

ABSTRACT: The United States Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station is engaged in a study to detect from ERTS-1 satellite data alterations to the absorption and scattering properties caused by the movement of suspended particles and solutes in selected areas of the Chesapeake Bay and to correlate the data to determine the feasibility of delineating flow patterns, flushing action of the estuary, and sediment and pollutant dispersion. Data processing techniques have been developed that permit automatic interpretation of data from any multispectral remote sensor with computer systems which have limited memory capacity and computing speed. The multispectral remote sensor is considered as a reflectance spectrophotometer. The data that define the spectral reflectance characteristics of a scene are scanned pixel-by-pixel. Each pixel whose spectral reflectance matches a reference spectrum is identified and the results are shown in a map. This paper describes the interpretation technique and presents as an example interpretated data from the ERTS-1.

REFERENCE: Williamson, Albert N., "Interpretation of Multispectral Remote Sensor Data" Journal of the Surveying and Manning Division ASCE, Vol. 100, No. SU2

# 10962 PROCEDURE FOR NUMERICAL RELATIVE ORIENTATION

KEY WORDS: Aerial surveys; Numerical analysis; Orientation; Orthogonal functions; Photogrammetry; Random processes; Rotation; Surveying

ABSTRACT: A random rotation approach has been suggested herein to tackle the problems of relative and exterior orientations. This new method circumvents the problem posed with iterative solutions. Problems of relative and exterior orientations may be reduced to a common form, from which they would appear simply as the problems of absolute orientation. Thus, exterior and relative orientations are not problems in analytical photogrammetry. The results obtained during the course of investigations carried by the writer are encouraging. Some more applications of this approach may be envisaged in other fields of analytical photogrammetry.

REFERENCE: Mahajan, Santosh K., "New Procedure for Numerical Relative Orientation," *Journal of the Surveying and Mapping Division,* ASCE, Vol. 100, No. SU2, **Proc. Paper 10962**, November, 1974, pp. 155-169

# ENGLISH-SI CONVERSION FACTORS

In accordance with the October 1970 action of the ASCE Board of Direction, which stated that all publications of the Society should list all measurements in both customary (English) and SI (International System) units, the list below contains conversion factors to enable readers to compute the SI unit values of measurements. A complete guide to the SI system and its use has been published by the American Society for Testing & Materials. Copies of this publication (ASTM E-380-1972) can be purchased from ASCE at a price of  $75\phi$  each; orders must be prepaid.

All authors of Journal papers are being asked to prepare their papers in this dual-unit format. Until this practice affects the majority of papers published, we will continue to print this table of conversion factors:

To convert	То	Multiply by
10 convert	10	by
inches (in.)	millimeters (mm)	25.40
inches (in.)	centimeters (cm)	2.540
inches (in.)	meters (m)	0.0254
feet (ft)	meters (m)	0.305
miles (miles)	kilometers (km)	1.61
yards (yd)	meters (m)	0.91
square inches (sq in.)	square centimeters (cm <sup>2</sup> )	6.45
square feet (sq ft)	square meters (m <sup>2</sup> )	0.093
square yards (sq yd)	square meters (m <sup>2</sup> )	0.836
acres (acre)	square meters (m <sup>2</sup> )	4047.
square miles (sq miles)	square kilometers (km <sup>2</sup> )	2.59
cubic inches (cu in.)	cubic centimeters (cm <sup>3</sup> )	16.4
cubic feet (cu ft)	cubic meters (m <sup>3</sup> )	0.028
cubic yards (cu yd)	cubic meters (m <sup>3</sup> )	0.765
pounds (lb)	kilograms (kg)	0.453
tons (ton)	kilograms (kg)	907.2
one pound force (lbf)	newtons (N)	4.45
one kilogram force (kgf)	newtons (N)	9.81
pounds per square foot (psf)	newtons per square	
	meter $(N/m^2)$	47.9
pounds per square inch (psi)	kilonewtons per square	
	meter $(kN/m^2)$	6.9
gallons (gal)	cubic meters (m <sup>3</sup> )	0.0038
gallons (gal)	liter (dm <sup>3</sup> )	3.8
acre-feet (acre-ft)	cubic meters (m <sup>3</sup> )	1233.
gallons per minute (gpm)	cubic meters/minute (m <sup>3</sup> /min)	0.0038
newtons per square		
meter $(N/m^2)$	pascals (Pa)	1.00